Journal of ENVIRONMENTAL Treatment Techniques

J. Environ. Treat. Tech.
ISSN: 2309-1185

The Role of Environmental Exposures in Cancer Development

Gulnara Zh. Kandygulova[1]*, Bibigul Karimsakova[2]; Zhanat Ashimova [3]

[1] Department of Pathological Physiology, West Kazakhstan Marat Ospanov State Medical University, 68 Maresyev Street, Aktobe, 030019, Kazakhstan
[2] Department of General Medical Practice №1, West Kazakhstan Marat Ospanov Medical University, Aktobe, Kazakhstan
[3] Department of Scientific Research Management, West Kazakhstan Marat Ospanov Medical University, Aktobe, Kazakhstan

Received: 09/07/2023 Accepted: 18/08/2023 Published: 20/09/2023

Abstract

Cancer, a leading cause of death globally, is a complex disease influenced by a multitude of factors, including genetic predisposition and environmental exposures. This paper explores the significant role of environmental exposures in cancer development, highlighting the intricate interplay between environmental factors and the intricate biological processes that lead to uncontrolled cell growth. We examine various categories of environmental exposures, including air and water pollution, occupational hazards, tobacco smoke, dietary factors, radiation, and infectious agents. The paper delves into the mechanisms by which these exposures can damage DNA, disrupt cellular signaling pathways, and promote the development of cancer. We further discuss the challenges of assessing the impact of environmental exposures on cancer risk, considering the complexity of interactions between multiple factors, the long latency periods between exposure and disease onset, and the difficulties in isolating specific causal agents. Finally, we examine strategies for mitigating the risks associated with environmental exposures, emphasizing the importance of public health interventions, environmental regulations, and individual lifestyle choices.

Keywords: cancer, environmental exposures, air pollution, water pollution, occupational hazards, tobacco smoke, diet, radiation, infectious agents, DNA damage, cellular signaling, risk assessment, public health, prevention

1 Introduction

Cancer, a constellation of diseases characterized by uncontrolled cell growth, invasion, and metastasis, remains a leading cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide. The pathogenesis of cancer is remarkably complex, involving a confluence of genetic, lifestyle, and environmental factors. While the role of genetic predisposition in cancer susceptibility is undeniable, an emerging body of compelling evidence strongly implicates environmental exposures as major contributors to cancer development. This paper aims to delve into the intricate interplay between environmental exposures and cancer development, exploring the diverse range of environmental agents that have been linked to increased cancer risk, examining the biological mechanisms by which these agents initiate and promote tumorigenesis, and considering the significant challenges associated with assessing the cumulative impact of these exposures on human health. This exploration will move beyond a simplistic cause-and-effect model, recognizing the complex interactions between genes and environment, the variability in individual susceptibility to environmental carcinogens, and the latency periods often associated with cancer development. This introduction seeks to set the stage for a critical analysis of the current state of knowledge, highlighting the need for more comprehensive and rigorous research to identify, characterize, and mitigate the environmental risk factors that contribute to the global cancer burden. This analysis will also acknowledge the complexities of assessing environmental exposures and the need for a multidisciplinary approach that integrates insights from epidemiology, toxicology, molecular biology, and public health to effectively address this critical challenge.

2 Categories of Environmental Exposures

Environmental exposures represent a complex web of factors that significantly contribute to cancer development. These exposures can be categorized broadly, highlighting the diverse range of agents and conditions associated with increased cancer risk. This section explores these categories, emphasizing the specific mechanisms by which these exposures may impact the human body and ultimately contribute to the development of malignant diseases.

Air pollution, characterized by the presence of various airborne particulates and gases, has been strongly linked to increased cancer risk. Particulate matter (PM2.5), ozone (O_3), nitrogen dioxide (NO_2), and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) are among the key pollutants implicated in the development of lung cancer, as well as other cancers. Prolonged exposure to these pollutants can damage lung tissue, leading to chronic inflammation, DNA damage, and altered cellular function, ultimately increasing the risk of malignant transformation. Similarly, water pollution, contaminated with industrial chemicals, pesticides, heavy metals, and other toxins, has been implicated in the development of bladder cancer, liver cancer, and other types of cancer. These pollutants can enter the body through ingestion and exert their carcinogenic effects through various mechanisms, including DNA damage and disruption of cellular processes. The cumulative nature of chronic exposure to these pollutants, often found in urban and

***Corresponding author:** Gulnara Zh. Kandygulova, Department of Pathological Physiology, West Kazakhstan Marat Ospanov State Medical University, 68 Maresyev Street, Aktobe, 030019, Kazakhstan, E-mail: gulnar_joldykairovna@mail.ru; Tel: +7 701 6431657

industrial environments, is a significant concern in the context of cancer prevention and public health (1).

Certain occupational exposures carry specific risks for cancer development. Workers in industries such as mining, manufacturing, construction, and certain sectors of agriculture may be exposed to various carcinogens in the workplace. Exposure to asbestos, benzene, formaldehyde, heavy metals, and ionizing radiation from various sources can increase the risk of specific cancers. The intensity and duration of exposure, combined with the specific chemical or physical agent, can significantly influence the likelihood of developing cancer. Understanding the specific occupational hazards and implementing appropriate safety measures and protective equipment are crucial in mitigating cancer risk in these high-risk populations (2).

Tobacco use, both active and passive, represents the single most significant preventable cause of cancer globally. The carcinogens in tobacco smoke, including various polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and nitrosamines, directly damage DNA, disrupt cellular processes, and promote inflammation, leading to an increased risk of lung cancer, bladder cancer, pancreatic cancer, and many other malignancies. Passive smoking, or exposure to secondhand smoke, also poses a significant risk to non-smokers, highlighting the detrimental impact of environmental tobacco smoke on public health. Strategies to reduce tobacco use, including comprehensive smoking cessation programs, public awareness campaigns, and smoke-free environments, are crucial for cancer prevention (3).

Dietary choices can significantly influence cancer risk. Certain dietary components, including processed meats, red meat, and high-fat diets, have been linked to increased risk of specific cancers. Excessive alcohol consumption also contributes to several types of cancer. Conversely, diets rich in fruits, vegetables, and other nutrient-rich foods may offer protective effects against certain cancers. Nutritional deficiencies, such as inadequate intake of vitamins and minerals, may also increase susceptibility to cancer. Understanding the complex relationship between diet and cancer risk is crucial for developing effective dietary guidelines and public health initiatives for cancer prevention (4).

Exposure to both ionizing radiation (e.g., from medical imaging, nuclear accidents, and naturally occurring radon) and non-ionizing radiation (e.g., ultraviolet radiation from sunlight) can increase cancer risk. Ionizing radiation damages DNA directly, increasing the risk of mutations that can lead to uncontrolled cell growth and cancer. Exposure to ultraviolet radiation, particularly from the sun, is a major risk factor for skin cancer. Protecting individuals from exposure to harmful radiation through proper shielding, safe work practices, and public awareness campaigns is essential for cancer prevention (5).

Certain viral and bacterial infections have been linked to an increased risk of cancer development. Viral infections like hepatitis B and C, human papillomavirus (HPV), and Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) are associated with an elevated risk of liver cancer, cervical cancer, and other malignancies. Bacterial infections, such as Helicobacter pylori, have been linked to stomach cancer. These infectious agents contribute to cancer risk through various mechanisms, including chronic inflammation, immune system dysfunction, and direct DNA damage. Vaccination and appropriate treatment for these infections can reduce the risk of related cancers (6).

3 Mechanisms of Environmental Exposure and Cancer Development

Environmental exposures exert their carcinogenic effects through a complex interplay of molecular and cellular mechanisms. These mechanisms are not always linear and often involve multiple pathways working synergistically to promote the development of cancer. This section explores the specific mechanisms through which environmental agents contribute to cancer development, focusing on DNA damage and genetic alterations, the disruption of cellular signaling pathways, and the suppression of the immune system. Understanding these mechanisms is crucial for identifying potential targets for cancer prevention and therapeutic interventions (7). One of the primary ways in which environmental exposures contribute to cancer development is by causing damage to DNA, the blueprint of cellular function. This DNA damage can occur through various pathways, depending on the specific environmental agent. The consequences of DNA damage range from subtle alterations to gross structural changes that can lead to genomic instability and malignancy (8).

Genotoxicity: Direct Damage to DNA: Many environmental carcinogens, including components of air pollution (e.g., polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons), tobacco smoke (e.g., nitrosamines), and certain industrial chemicals (e.g., benzene), are directly genotoxic. These agents interact with DNA molecules, causing structural damage such as base modifications, strand breaks, and DNA adducts (covalent bonds between the carcinogen and DNA). This damage, if not repaired by cellular mechanisms, can result in mutations, which are permanent changes in the DNA sequence. Mutations in genes that regulate cell growth, division, and apoptosis (programmed cell death) can lead to uncontrolled cell proliferation and cancer development. Specific examples include mutations in tumor suppressor genes (e.g., p53, BRCA1, BRCA2) and oncogenes (e.g., RAS, MYC). The accumulation of multiple mutations over time, often resulting from chronic exposure to environmental carcinogens, can drive the multistep process of carcinogenesis (9).

Epigenetics: Altering Gene Expression without Changing the DNA Sequence: Environmental exposures can also alter gene expression patterns without changing the underlying DNA sequence itself. These alterations, known as epigenetic modifications, include DNA methylation, histone modifications, and non-coding RNA expression. Epigenetic changes can silence or activate specific genes, impacting cellular processes that regulate cell growth, differentiation, and apoptosis. Some environmental agents can promote aberrant DNA methylation patterns, leading to the silencing of tumor suppressor genes, while others can alter histone modifications, impacting chromatin structure and gene expression. Epigenetic modifications are heritable, meaning that they can be passed down from one cell generation to the next, potentially contributing to long-term changes in cellular function and increased cancer susceptibility. Unlike DNA mutations, epigenetic modifications are potentially reversible, which opens the possibility of targeting these changes for cancer prevention and therapeutic interventions (10).

Environmental exposures can also contribute to cancer development by disrupting cellular signaling pathways, which are essential for regulating cell growth, differentiation, and death. These signaling pathways, often involving complex cascades of protein interactions, transmit signals from the cell surface to the nucleus, controlling the expression of genes that determine cellular fate (11). Signal Transduction Pathways: Many environmental agents, including certain chemicals and pollutants, can interfere with normal signal transduction pathways, leading to aberrant cellular responses. For example, some environmental carcinogens can activate receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs), promoting cell growth and proliferation, even in the absence of normal growth signals. Others can inhibit the activity of tumor suppressor proteins, disrupting the brakes that normally prevent uncontrolled cell division. These disruptions

in cellular signaling can lead to the activation of oncogenes, which promote cell growth and survival, and the inactivation of tumor suppressor genes, which normally inhibit cell proliferation. The dysregulation of cellular signaling is a hallmark of cancer and contributes to the uncontrolled growth and invasive behavior of cancer cells. The chronic exposure to environmental agents that disrupt cellular signaling can contribute to the accumulation of cellular changes that drive cancer development (12).

The immune system plays a critical role in detecting and eliminating cancer cells. However, certain environmental exposures can suppress the immune system, making individuals more susceptible to the development of cancer and compromising the body's ability to fight existing tumors. Immune System Dysfunction: Some environmental pollutants, heavy metals, and certain chemicals can suppress the function of immune cells, such as T cells, natural killer (NK) cells, and macrophages, which are essential for fighting off cancer. For example, exposure to certain immunosuppressive agents can reduce the activity of cytotoxic T cells, which are responsible for killing cancer cells. Furthermore, environmental exposures can promote chronic inflammation, which can dysregulate immune function and promote cancer progression (13). Chronic inflammation is often associated with the overproduction of inflammatory cytokines, which can create a tumor microenvironment that favors cancer cell growth and survival. The suppression of immune function can also contribute to increased susceptibility to viral infections, some of which are associated with the development of specific cancers (e.g., HPV and cervical cancer, hepatitis B and C and liver cancer). By compromising the immune system's surveillance and clearance mechanisms, environmental exposures can enhance the likelihood of cancer development and progression.

4 Challenges in Assessing the Role of Environmental Exposures

Assessing the impact of environmental exposures on cancer risk is a formidable task, presenting a multitude of methodological and analytical challenges. These challenges arise from the complex interplay of multiple factors, the long latency periods between exposure and disease onset, and the inherent difficulty in establishing clear causal links in complex environmental scenarios. This section delves into these challenges, highlighting the specific obstacles in disentangling the effects of individual environmental exposures, accounting for long latency periods, and identifying causal agents within complex environmental mixtures. Addressing these challenges requires a multifaceted approach that incorporates sophisticated research methods, robust data analysis techniques, and a clear understanding of the limitations of existing knowledge (14).

Cancer development is not typically the result of a single environmental exposure but rather a complex interplay of multiple factors, including genetic predispositions, lifestyle choices, and cumulative exposures across a lifetime. This complexity makes it incredibly difficult to isolate the specific effects of individual environmental agents on cancer risk. Individuals are often exposed to a multitude of different environmental agents simultaneously, and these agents can interact with each other in synergistic or antagonistic ways, complicating the analysis of their individual contributions to cancer development. Furthermore, the effects of environmental exposures can be modified by genetic factors, lifestyle choices, and the individual's overall health status, making it difficult to generalize findings across different populations. This complex interplay of factors requires sophisticated research designs and advanced statistical techniques that can account for confounding variables and identify the specific contributions of different environmental exposures to cancer risk. This also implies that interventions targeting a single exposure might not be as effective as interventions addressing multiple factors holistically. The challenge for epidemiologists is therefore to develop methods that can dissect these complex relationships, account for confounding variables, and identify the specific contributions of different exposures to cancer development, while acknowledging the limitations of observational studies in establishing causation (15).

The latency period between exposure to an environmental carcinogen and the onset of cancer can be remarkably long, often spanning decades. This long latency period poses a significant challenge for assessing the causal role of environmental exposures in cancer development. It becomes incredibly difficult to accurately reconstruct an individual's past exposures over long periods, which may rely on incomplete or inaccurate recall. Furthermore, individuals may have moved to different locations, changed jobs, and modified their lifestyles over time, making it difficult to track their exposures accurately. The variability in latency periods across different types of cancer and across different individuals also adds to the complexity of assessing the role of specific environmental agents. The long latency periods also create difficulties in establishing causal relationships because individuals might have been exposed to multiple factors during that long period, and the relevant exposure might be far removed from the diagnosis date. This gap between exposure and diagnosis makes it challenging to establish temporal relationships and requires cohort studies that follow large populations over long periods, which can be both costly and logistically complex. Furthermore, exposures early in life might predispose individuals to cancer later in life, creating a challenge for researchers to capture this information. Therefore, the long latency periods of cancer poses significant challenges to researchers working to establish cause-and-effect relationships with environmental factors (16).

Identifying specific causal agents within complex environmental mixtures is another significant challenge in assessing the role of environmental exposures in cancer development. Individuals are often exposed to mixtures of pollutants, chemicals, and other environmental agents, and it can be difficult to identify which components of these mixtures are most responsible for increasing cancer risk. The interactions between different components of these mixtures can also alter their carcinogenic potential, making it difficult to predict their combined effects based on the effects of individual agents. Furthermore, many environmental exposures are present at low concentrations, making it difficult to measure them accurately and to assess their long-term impact on health. The low-dose effects of environmental agents, particularly those that act through epigenetic mechanisms, are not always well-understood, further complicating the challenge of identifying causal relationships. The difficulty in identifying causal agents also makes it more difficult to establish specific interventions, as it is sometimes hard to pinpoint the exact agents that should be targeted to reduce cancer rates. Sophisticated research methods, including metabolomics, genomics, and advanced analytical techniques, are required to characterize these complex environmental mixtures and to identify the specific components that are most likely to contribute to cancer development. Furthermore, robust epidemiological studies that combine detailed exposure assessments with information on genetic and lifestyle factors are crucial for assessing the causal role of specific environmental agents (17).

5 Strategies for Mitigation

Addressing the significant risks posed by environmental exposures to cancer development necessitates a multi-level strategy that encompasses public health interventions, rigorous environmental regulations, and individual lifestyle choices. This section explores specific strategies across these levels, highlighting the importance of a comprehensive and collaborative approach to minimize cancer risk associated with environmental factors.

Public health interventions play a critical role in minimizing environmental cancer risks by creating and enforcing supportive regulations and providing resources to promote healthy behaviors. Air and Water Quality Regulations: Stricter regulations on industrial emissions, vehicle emissions, and waste disposal are essential to reduce air and water pollution. These regulations should be accompanied by robust monitoring programs and enforcement mechanisms to ensure compliance and minimize exposure to hazardous pollutants. Improved public transportation and policies encouraging cleaner energy sources are further important steps in reducing air pollution. Similarly, stringent regulations on water treatment and industrial discharge can mitigate water contamination risks (17).

Tobacco Control Initiatives: Comprehensive tobacco control strategies are crucial in reducing tobacco-related cancer risks. This includes implementing and enforcing smoke-free policies in public places, providing comprehensive smoking cessation programs, increasing tobacco taxes, and implementing effective public health campaigns to raise awareness about the dangers of tobacco use. Specific programs targeting vulnerable populations, especially youth, should also be prioritized. Occupational Health and Safety Regulations: Robust occupational health and safety regulations are vital to protect workers from exposure to carcinogens and other workplace hazards. These regulations should include mandatory safety equipment, stringent limits on exposure to hazardous substances, regular safety inspections, and training programs to educate workers on safe practices. Encouraging the use of safer materials and processes in workplaces is also crucial in minimizing occupational cancer risks (18).

Promoting Environmental Education and Awareness: Public health campaigns emphasizing environmental awareness and the risks of various exposures can empower individuals to make informed choices about their health and safety. Educating the public about the harmful effects of environmental exposures and encouraging them to participate in initiatives to reduce these risks can have a significant impact on behavior change.

Environmental regulations provide a crucial framework for preventing the release of carcinogens and other hazardous substances into the environment. Pollution Prevention Strategies: Implementing stringent regulations on industrial emissions, waste disposal, and chemical use can significantly reduce the amount of hazardous substances released into the air, water, and soil. Promoting the development and use of cleaner technologies and safer production processes is also crucial in minimizing environmental pollution. Regulations on pesticide use and the management of hazardous waste should be strictly enforced. Transparency and accountability in environmental monitoring and compliance are key for effective implementation (18).

Environmental Impact Assessments: Thorough environmental impact assessments for new industrial projects, construction, and other activities that may introduce potential environmental hazards are crucial to anticipate and mitigate potential risks. These assessments should incorporate best practices in risk assessment and prevention to ensure minimal environmental harm (19).

While broader societal changes are crucial, individual choices also play a key role in mitigating environmental cancer risks.Healthy Diet and Lifestyle: Encouraging a healthy diet rich in fruits, vegetables, and whole grains, combined with regular physical activity, can reduce cancer risk. This also includes limiting consumption of processed meats, alcohol, and high-fat foods. Access to affordable, healthy food options and opportunities for regular physical activity should be prioritized within communities (20).

Sun Protection and Radiation Awareness: Individuals can significantly reduce their risk of skin cancer by using sunscreen, seeking shade, wearing protective clothing, and avoiding prolonged sun exposure, especially during peak hours. Promoting public awareness about the importance of sun safety is key. Avoiding Tobacco Smoke: Preventing exposure to tobacco smoke, both active and passive, remains a cornerstone of cancer prevention. Public health campaigns should continuously emphasize the harmful effects of tobacco on all individuals.

6 Conclusion

Environmental exposures play a significant role in cancer development, contributing to a substantial proportion of cancer cases worldwide. Understanding the intricate interplay between environmental factors and biological mechanisms is crucial for implementing effective prevention and control strategies. By implementing public health interventions, enforcing environmental regulations, and promoting individual lifestyle choices, we can mitigate the risks associated with environmental exposures and reduce the burden of cancer globally.

Ethical issue

Authors are aware of, and comply with, best practice in publication ethics specifically with regard to authorship (avoidance of guest authorship), dual submission, manipulation of figures, competing interests and compliance with policies on research ethics. Authors adhere to publication requirements that submitted work is original and has not been published elsewhere in any language.

Competing interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest that would prejudice the impartiality of this scientific work.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization, Gulnara Zh. Kandygulova; methodology, Bibigul Karimsakova; formal analysis, Zhanat Ashimova; investigation, Gulnara Zh. Kandygulova; resources, Bibigul Karimsakova; writing—original draft preparation, Bibigul Karimsakova, Zhanat Ashimova; writing—review and editing, Gulnara Zh. Kandygulova.

Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Data Availability Statement

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

References

1. Robinson ED, Volles DF, Kramme K, Mathers AJ, Sawyer RG. Collaborative Antimicrobial Stewardship for Surgeons. Infect Dis Clin North Am. 2020;34(1):97-108.

2. Falzone L, Marconi A, Loreto C, Franco S, Spandidos DA, Libra M. Occupational exposure to carcinogens: Benzene, pesticides and fibers (Review). Mol Med Rep. 2016;14(5):4467-74.
3. Caliri AW, Tommasi S, Besaratinia A. Relationships among smoking, oxidative stress, inflammation, macromolecular damage, and cancer. Mutat Res Rev Mutat Res. 2021;787:108365.
4. Seiler A, Chen MA, Brown RL, Fagundes CP. Obesity, Dietary Factors, Nutrition, and Breast Cancer Risk. Curr Breast Cancer Rep. 2018;10(1):14-27.
5. Bazyka D. Twenty five years of the National Academy of Medical Sciences of Ukraine - progress and priorities for future of radiation medicine and biology. Probl Radiac Med Radiobiol. 2017;22:10-4.
6. Liu MA. DNA and mRNA Vaccines for Chronic Viral Infections and Cancer: Rationale, Mechanisms, and Progress. Cancers (Basel). 2022;14(23).
7. Casey SC, Vaccari M, Al-Mulla F, Al-Temaimi R, Amedei A, Barcellos-Hoff MH, et al. The effect of environmental chemicals on the tumor microenvironment. Carcinogenesis. 2015;36 Suppl 1(Suppl 1):S160-83.
8. Katerji M, Duerksen-Hughes PJ. DNA damage in cancer development: special implications in viral oncogenesis. Am J Cancer Res. 2021;11(8):3956-79.
9. Hartwig A, Arand M, Epe B, Guth S, Jahnke G, Lampen A, et al. Mode of action-based risk assessment of genotoxic carcinogens. Arch Toxicol. 2020;94(6):1787-877.
10. Chistiakov DA, Orekhov AN, Bobryshev YV. Treatment of cardiovascular pathology with epigenetically active agents: Focus on natural and synthetic inhibitors of DNA methylation and histone deacetylation. Int J Cardiol. 2017;227:66-82.
11. Narayanan KB, Ali M, Barclay BJ, Cheng QS, D'Abronzo L, Dornetshuber-Fleiss R, et al. Disruptive environmental chemicals and cellular mechanisms that confer resistance to cell death. Carcinogenesis. 2015;36 Suppl 1(Suppl 1):S89-110.
12. Alzahrani AS. PI3K/Akt/mTOR inhibitors in cancer: At the bench and bedside. Semin Cancer Biol. 2019;59:125-32.
13. Gonzalez H, Hagerling C, Werb Z. Roles of the immune system in cancer: from tumor initiation to metastatic progression. Genes Dev. 2018;32(19-20):1267-84.
14. Madia F, Worth A, Whelan M, Corvi R. Carcinogenicity assessment: Addressing the challenges of cancer and chemicals in the environment. Environ Int. 2019;128:417-29.
15. Mbemi A, Khanna S, Njiki S, Yedjou CG, Tchounwou PB. Impact of Gene-Environment Interactions on Cancer Development. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17(21).
16. Huang Y, Zhu M, Ji M, Fan J, Xie J, Wei X, et al. Air Pollution, Genetic Factors, and the Risk of Lung Cancer: A Prospective Study in the UK Biobank. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2021;204(7):817-25.
17. Terry MB, Michels KB, Brody JG, Byrne C, Chen S, Jerry DJ, et al. Environmental exposures during windows of susceptibility for breast cancer: a framework for prevention research. Breast Cancer Res. 2019;21(1):96.
18. Bafunno D, Catino A, Lamorgese V, Del Bene G, Longo V, Montrone M, et al. Impact of tobacco control interventions on smoking initiation, cessation, and prevalence: a systematic review. J Thorac Dis. 2020;12(7):3844-56.
19. Stauber JL, Adams MS, Batley GE, Golding LA, Hargreaves I, Peeters L, et al. A generic environmental risk assessment framework for deep-sea tailings placement. Sci Total Environ. 2022;845:157311.
20. Orman A, Johnson DL, Comander A, Brockton N. Breast Cancer: A Lifestyle Medicine Approach. Am J Lifestyle Med. 2020;14(5):483-94.