



Evaluation of an Intelligent Device for Identifying Cane Losses from Sugarcane Harvester Primary Extractor

Mahmoud Shomeili^{1*}, Sasan Ahmadi², Mohammad Amin Asodar³, Amin Reza Jamshidi⁴

¹ Top Advisor of Iranian Sugarcane Research and Training Institute, Ahvaz, Iran.

² Former M.Sc. Student of Mechanization, Shoushtar Islamic Azad University, Shoushtar, Iran

³ Department of Agronomy, Ramin Agriculture and Natural Resources University, Ahvaz, Iran

⁴ Department of Mechanization Shoushtar Islamic Azad University, branch, Shoushtar, Iran

Received: 14/11/2020

Accepted: 19/04/2022

Published: 20/06/2022

Abstract

Harvesting of any crop or agricultural plant is usually associated with losses. Among the factors that affect the amount of crop losses are the methods and tools used to perform harvesting operations. Machine harvesting of sugarcane is done in two ways: green and burnt, and usually burnt harvest has between 25-50% less losses. When harvesting sugarcane, you can clearly hear the sound of sugarcane pieces hitting the wall of the primary extractor hood. Accordingly, it was decided to use the audio system to determine the relationship between these sounds and the losses of the primary extractor. To record sounds in the basic extractor, two models of full-directional and one-way capacitive microphone (cardioid) and cool record edit deluxe and Audacity software were used. To detect the wavelength of the sounds caused by the collision of different parts of sugarcane with the hood cap and extractor blades by throwing a large volume of straw along with 25 cm pieces of sugarcane billets, a sound record was set. A camera was also installed there to record video of what was happening under the extractor compartment. The results showed that the one-way capacitive microphone installed in the upper part of the primary extractor housing received clearer sounds. Analysing the recorded sounds and comparing them with the images obtained from the camera under the primary extractor revealed that the audio loss detection system detects the losses in the primary extractor with an accuracy of about 75 to 80%. The loss rate at 1200 rpm was about 1.5 times higher than at 1100 round per minute.

Keywords: Acoustic sensor, Closed circuit camera, Harvest losses, Sugarcane primary extractor

1 Introduction

Considering Iran's climatic and territorial potentials, the production capacity of agricultural products is more than 300 million tons, while with all the efforts made; now only 100 million tons of agricultural products are produced per year. Such a condition indicates a distance from the scale of optimization of production and economy in the agricultural sector. Therefore, it is not produced as much as the capacity and the result will be an increase in prices [6]. At the end of the Fourth Economic, Social and Cultural Development Plan of Iran, assuming that 30% of losses remain constant, about 33 million tons of agricultural products will be converted into wastes, the value of which is estimated at about \$ 8.9 billion. This amount can provide food for 20 million people, while 25 to 30 percent of the total populations are vulnerable in terms of energy, 10 percent of them suffer from severe nutritional deficiencies [4, 7, 15]. Sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum* L.) is one of the strategic crops of Khuzestan province, Iran, which is cultivated on more than 100,000 hectares of irrigated lands. High biomass production leads to high losses

for this crop. A part of this loss is related to the production conditions of the crop on the farm and another part is related to the processing of sugar production in the factory. In the agricultural sector, the use of tools and machinery during the planting, growing, and harvesting stages play an essential role in increasing or decreasing the volume of losses. Planting stage losses mainly include losses of machine setts cuttings, physical and biological damage of setts; diminish growth of setts, improper soiling of setts, replanting, and gap filling. In the growing stage, the losses are due to late harvesting of the field and consequent delay in ratooning, improper execution of ratooning operations and heeling-up and cultivator, different dimensions of furrow and ridge in the field, and destruction of sugarcane stumps during ratooning. Harvest stage losses are more obvious and are mainly due to the improper performance of harvesters. Non-recyclable losses of sugarcane from different parts of the harvester may reach 18 tons per hectare (Fig. 1), of which more than 50% of these losses are related to the primary extractor [16] while Carmen et al [3] reached to 12 tons per hectare in Campos, Brazil.

Corresponding author: Top researcher and advisor of Iranian Sugarcane Research and Training Institute, Ahvaz, Iran. E-mail: eshomeili@gmail.com.




Figure.1: Most non-recyclable losses and sources of its creation in a modern sugarcane harvester in Iran

In other case, Kosum et al. [8] showed that sugarcane losses from the operation of harvesters are as follows 49% from base cutter, 26% from rollers, 17% from elevator and 8% from primary extractor. The loss of sugarcane from the transport fleet on the way to transfer sugarcane to the factory and also the delay in harvesting burnt sugarcane and its transfer to the factory are losses whose quantitative and qualitative losses are noteworthy [1].

Considering the collection, studies, documentation, and consulting with experts of various departments, as well as the use of existing references, there are losses, production in agriculture and industry. From the stage of planting and holding to the process of sugar production, each of which can be examined in its own way. Studies show that 20-30% of the total sucrose produced by sugarcane is lost due to various reasons such as time and method of harvest, delay in processing sugar from the harvested product, poor technical knowledge and losses in the industrial sector [13]. Like other sugarcane regions in the world, machine harvesting of sugarcane in Khuzestan, Iran, is done in two ways, green and burnt. In the green method, a large amount of vegetable impurity enters the harvester and must be separated from the stem billets. Two suction extractors are installed in the harvester that separates the trash from the sugarcane stem billets. The trashes are sucked by the primary and secondary extractors due to their lightness and are thrown out of the machine [2].

McCarthy [10] reported that using acoustic sensors (audio converters) can determine the amount of losses associated with the harvester. Using an audio transducer installed behind the primary extractor blades (at the shaft connection), he was able to determine the amount of sugarcane stem losses in this area. This was done by converting the shocks to the blades as well as analysing the output voltage of the sensors [10]. Factors such as

throwing cane shrapnel (Fragments of torn cane) out due to improper adjustment of the primary extractor circumference, defect in the operation of the lifting trays due to incorrect adjustment of the distance between the moving part of the lift and the fixed floor of the lift, low capacity of the initial basket and falling around it, etc. caused losses of about 5 to 24 tons per hectare [16]. Qasemnejad Maleki [5] while comparing the different rotation of the primary extractor (900, 1100, 1300, 1500 rpm) in the sugarcane harvester 7000 Austoft, showed the optimal speed of the primary extractor for the least loss and the most suitable cleaning of the trash (vegetable impurities) with the billets should be set at 1100 rpm [5]. This study was conducted to evaluate the feasibility of using a suitable sound sensor to control the quantity of sugarcane losses on the harvester 7000 Austoft. Such a system can adjust the extractor speed by reducing the amount of cane losses without the intervention of the operator.

2 Material and Methods

During the machine harvesting of sugarcane, you can clearly hear the sound of chipped cane pieces hitting the protective wall of the primary extractor. Accordingly, and the need for a system to detect losses in the primary extractor, it was decided to use an audio system to determine the relationship between the number and amount of these sounds and losses from the primary extractor. For this reason, a research was conducted on the sugarcane harvester 7000 Austoft in Mirza Koochak Khan agro-industry, Khuzestan, Iran, during the 2014 harvest season. In the first step, a video camera was installed in the inner hood cap of the primary extractor using welding and related cabling, and then a video was taken of what was happening under the hood cap of the primary extractor.

To record the ambient sound inside the primary extractor, two models of capacitive microphone, one full-directional type and the other one-way microphone (cardioid) were selected. The reason for choosing capacitive models was their higher sensitivity to sound as well as good sound quality. To provide the required voltage to the two ends of the microphone capacitor, due to the high output impedance of this model, a preamplifier was needed which is usually supplied by a 9 to 12-volt battery. In this design, the required power of the microphone was provided by using a 12 volt battery (Fig. 2).



(b)



(a)

Figure 2: (a) Cardioid capacitive microphone, (b) one-way microphone

Given the working conditions of the harvester on the field and the position intended for the installation of microphones, it was necessary to think about solving the problem of capacitive microphones. Capacitive microphones are much more sensitive to wind than other models and if not properly insulated can lead to loss of quality during audio recording. To solve this problem, a compartment was designed using yonolit compact and sound insulation type and the microphone was placed completely inside this compartment. By doing this, both the vibrations caused by the protective cap of the primary extractor were less transmitted to the microphone and the external sounds such as engine noise, radiator fan, ambient wind, hydraulic motors and transport tractor, etc. were practically eliminated. To record and analyses the sound

from the microphone two software “cool record edit deluxe” version 7. 9. 5 and “AudaCity” version 2. 0. 2 were used.

Next, according to the installation position of the camera in the primary extractor hood, a full-directional capacitive microphone was placed under the primary extractor hood and fixed using adhesive and belt expansion. Then, by connecting the audio and video transmission cables, the sound recording under the primary extractor chamber began (Fig. 3). During the harvesting operation, the microphone was damaged due to the intensity of the high air flow and the high volume of straw and the impact of the cane pieces. Therefore, in order to prevent re-damage of the microphone and also to reduce the effect of air flow on the performance of that microphone it was placed outside the protective cap of extractor. According to the images obtained from the installed camera and the studies performed it was found that the most collision of straw and cane pieces when leaving the primary extractor with the upper part (roof) of the hood cap. To control the disposal condition of the trash and possible losses of cane pieces from the secondary extractor, a camera was installed on the chassis of the elevator and in front of this extractor so its images were recorded.



Figure.3: The windshield used and how to place the microphone inside it

To determine the rotation of the extractor, first one of the extractor blades was marked with a label and then the harvester operator was asked to bring the extractor circulation to working conditions in the field and finally it was recorded using a tachometer. After installing the relevant equipment and cabling inside the operator's cabin, the harvester was ready to harvesting. To begin with the harvester moved from the beginning of a farm furrow and the time was calculated using a stopwatch. This test was continued until the end of the furrow and by using a tablet and its sound recorder menu save and record the sounds received from the microphone. This test was repeated several times in a field with a yield of about 85 tons per hectare of CP1062-69 variety and the data were recorded. To reduce the disconnection of cables (due to working conditions and moving parts of the harvester), using flex tubes with two layers of metal shield and using a resistance circuit as an emergency fuse was tried to avoid any unforeseen accidents. This fuse would cut off the power to the circuit in the event of any change in amperage or voltage. By placing the power supply of the cameras in the harvester cabin and using a mobile power supply, the output current for each camera was set to 12 volts. After performing the above steps, harvester moving and damaging parts during harvesting were

identified and therefore the best way for cabling was identified. Then the cables related to electricity and cameras using belt clamps and adhesive tape were placed in the desired positions. Figure 4 shows the route selected for cabling.



Figure 4: Cabling toward driver's cabin

In the next step, in order to separate the sound wavelengths created by the collision of different parts of the sugarcane with the hood cap and fan blades, a large amount of trash with 25 cm pieces of sugarcane stem was thrown into the hood and the sounds were recorded. In this way, the sound of the impact of trash or parts of the stem was separated. Then, using Audacity software, extra and low volume sounds were removed. By reducing and eliminating unwanted sounds, a clearer sound was obtained from the microphone.

3 Results and Discussion

Multi-year field studies showed that in more than 80% of active harvesters during the early harvesting season, the primary extractor was not suitable for working due to broken hydraulic valve, lack of adjustment or lack of attention. For this reason, before the start of the harvest period the extractor speed is set at 1100 rpm by the service workers which is a big mistake and this condition will remain constant until the end of the harvest period. During the harvest period, due to random control of the primary extractors' rotation by a laser tachometer device it was found that most of them vary between 900 and 1300 rpm which means that there is no proper monitoring. In recent years due to mainly climatic problems for sugarcane growth in Iran, the stems have become thinner and relatively light which has increased the losses due to the throwing of cane stem pieces out by the primary extractor. Table 1 shows the results obtained from measuring the primary extractor rotation in more than 45 harvesters.

Table 1: Evaluation of measurement of harvester primary extractor rotation during harvest season in Khuzestan, Iran, 2014.

	Primary extractor rotation (rpm)		
	≥ 1200	1000-1200	≤ 1000
Ratio of reviewed harvester (%)	28	54	18

In the results obtained from the output of the audio system, as

expected, the one-way capacitive microphone received clearer sounds (Fig. 6). Also, the microphone installed in the upper part of the primary extractor hood showed a greater number of collisions than the audio system installed in the side of that which was due to a more suitable position and a suitable windshield.




Figure 6: The difference in sound obtained from the audio system in different positions of the hood

Accordingly, only data obtained from the audio system installed above the primary extractor hood were examined. The results obtained from the analysis of recorded sounds and their comparison with the images obtained from the camera under the primary extractor showed that the sound oscillation detection system with accuracy of about 75 to 80% reveals the amount of cane losses in the primary extractor (Fig. 7).




Figure 7: Results obtained from the analysis and adaptation of recorded sounds from inside the sugarcane harvester primary extractor hood chamber and related camera videos

Figure 8 shows a comparison of losses amount in the two rotations' of 1100 and 1200 rpm from sugarcane harvester primary extractor. As you can see from the picture, the loss rate at 1200 rpm is about 1.5 times higher than the reed loss at 1100 rpm. In Martins et al. [9] and Schmidt Junior [14] studies, different amounts of sugarcane losses were reported in machine harvesting due to improper harvester performance, especially at the extractor rotation while in the study of Ramos et al. [11], no significant

difference was observed in the rate of cane losses by changing the functions of sugarcane harvesters. These different results are affected by various factors some of which are related to the health of the harvester and some to the field conditions considered for harvest. In addition to these two factors the effects of climatic conditions can also be considered as a sub-factor.




Figure 8: Analysis of recorded sounds from the primary extractor at 1100 and 1200 rpm

The primary extractor rotation affected both the quantity and quality of sugarcane lost from this section of harvester (Fig. 8). The rate of cane losses at 1100 rpm was more in the form of pieces with a length of about 15 to 25 cm while at 1200 rpm losses were more in the form of pieces with a length of less than 15 cm. Viator et al., [18] and Whiteing et al. [17] also pointed same results losses from primary extractor of sugarcane harvester. Increasing the extractor fan rotation too much based on the condition of the ready-to-harvest crop on the field will not only increase the losses but also make them smaller and often invisible. The speed of the harvester is one of the factors that change the efficiency of the extractors by changing the amount of plant material entering the harvester, which affects the amount of losses. But the losses are reduced. However, raising the work speed will provide gain in operational and economic performances, although it is important to consider whether sugarcane losses will be detrimental to the Mill income [12].

4 Conclusions

Observing the three basic conditions, i.e. mobile speed of the harvester, the speed of the primary extractor rotation and the engine rotation is very effective in changing the amount of losses from a harvester. However, the importance and role of the speed of the primary extractor rotation whose main task is to separate the trash from cane material is more than others [17]. The high speed of extractor rotation causes more of the trash to be separated from the cane stem pieces but due to the high suction of the primary extractor the pieces of stems that attached to the leaves as well as the thin stems pieces of cane are sucked and thrown out of the harvester [9, 16]. Increasing the speed of extraction rotation to reduce only one percent of plant impurities along with sugarcane billets increased shrapnel losses by more than four tons per hectare. Therefore, it is necessary to change the speed of the primary extractor rotation in proportion to the amount of trash turning into the harvester and also the degree of their adhesion to the sugarcane billets and even the diameter of the harvested stalks.

The use of a sound monitoring sensor that has the ability to distinguish between different types of sounds can help to determine the amount of losses from the sensitive part of the sugarcane harvester, i.e. the primary extractor. By integrating this audio sensor into a software kit it is possible to automatically adjust the primary extractor rotation to the best possible speed. Therefore, crop losses are reduced to a minimum and this was the subject of the first phase of this study. It is recommended that in the continuation of this work such audio sensors and its monitoring software be prepared as a kit and tested on a large scale on several devices.

5 Acknowledgment

We sincerely thank Qumars Kazemi, Managing Director of Mirza Kuchak Khan agro-industry Co., as well as Mohsen Shamsaei, Managing Director of Mechanical Equipment, and all their colleagues who helped us in carrying out this research.

Ethical issue

Authors are aware of, and comply with, best practice in publication ethics specifically with regard to authorship (avoidance of guest authorship), dual submission, manipulation of figures, competing interests and compliance with policies on research ethics. Authors adhere to publication requirements that submitted work is original and has not been published elsewhere in any language.

Competing interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest that would prejudice the impartiality of this scientific work.

Authors' contribution

All authors of this study have a complete contribution for data collection, data analyses and manuscript writing.

References

1. Anonymous, Amir Kabir agro-industry Internal Correspondence - Sugarcane Booklet, 2008.
2. Anonymous. Internal reports of Khuzestan Sugarcane and By-product Research and Training Institute, 1991-2013.
3. Carmen MCM, Francisco MAF, Rafael AA, Danilo GO, Helenilson de OF, Mirian PSS, Aline da SS. and Mauricio DMJ. Visible Losses to the Mechanical Harvesting of Ratoon Sugarcane Using the Harvester John Deere 3520. American Journal of Analytical Chemistry, 2018; 9:580-590 <http://www.scirp.org/journal/ajac,DOI:10.4236/ajac.2018.911042>
4. Ehsani, R. The position of food industry in rural development. At: <http://food4life.blogfa.com/post-34.aspx>, 2010
5. Ghasemnejad Maleki HM. and Jamshidi AR. Forecast Model of Sugar Loss Due to Mechanical Harvesting of the sugarcane crop. Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, 2011; 5(12): 1190-1194.
6. Group of Industry, Trade and Agriculture. 2019. Report No. 7121698. Club of Khabarnegarane Javan news, website at : <http://www.yjc.ir/00Tsg6>
7. Keshavarz A, Shariatmadar MH, Khosravi A, Sheikh Mehrabadi AA, Biki Khoshk A, Shabani M, Bakhshayesh M, Kiyan Pour R. and Fakari B. Estimating the economic value of the lost water due to wastes of agricultural products (irrigated crop and garden, from the harvest to before taking). Journal of Water and Sustainable Development, 2016; 3(1): 73-82.

8. Kosum K. and Bun-art S. The losses of sugarcane harvested from a sugarcane harvester. *Suranaree Journal of Science & Technology*, 2020; 27(1):1-9.
9. Martins MB, Testa JVP, Drudi FS, Sandi J. and Lanças KP. Losses in the mechanized harvest of sugarcane as a function of working speed and rotation of the primary extractor. *Científica*, 2017; 45(3):218-222. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.15361/1984-5529>.
10. McCarthy SG, Billingsley J. and Harris H. Development of an advanced cane loss monitoring system, *Proceedings of the Australian Society of Sugar Cane Technologists*, Cairns Queensland, 2002.
11. Ramos CRG, Lanças KP, Lyra GA, and Millani TM. Qualidade da colheita mecanizada de cana-de-açúcar em função da velocidade de deslocamento e rotação do motor da colhedora. *Energia na Agricultura*, 2014; 29(2):87-94.
12. Santos NB, Fernandes HC. and Gadanha Júnior CD. Economic impact of sugarcane (*Saccharum spp.*) loss in mechanical harvesting. *Científica*, 2015; 43(1):16–21.
13. Saxena P, Srivastava RP. and Sharma ML. Impact of cut to crush delay and bio-chemical changes in sugarcane. *Australian Journal Crop Science*, 2010; 4(9): 692-699.
14. 13- SCHMIDT JUNIOR, J. C. Avaliação de desempenho efetivo de colhedora de cana-de-açúcar (*Saccharum spp.*), 2011; 110p.
15. Sedaghat Hosseini SM, Korang Beheshti AM. and Farzaneh Y. Comparison of losses in different methods of harvesting irrigated wheat in Miandoab city. *The first national conference on mechanization and new technologies in agriculture*. At : Ahvaz, Iran, 2010.
16. Shomeili M. Reviewed the various aspects of sugarcane loss resources in Iran. 5th conference of Iranian Society of Sugarcane Technologists: at: Ahvaz, Iran, 2013.
17. Viator RP, Richard EP, Viator BJ, Jackson W, Waguespack HL. and Birkett HS. Sugarcane chopper harvester extractor fan and ground speed effects on yield and quality. *Applied engineering in Agriculture*, 2007; 23(1): 31-34.
18. Whiteing C, Norris CP. and Paton DC. Extraneous matter versus cane loss: Finding a balance in chopper harvested green cane. *Proceedings International Society Sugar Cane Technologists*, 2001; 24: 276-282.

Author Profile



Dr. M. Shomeili was born in 1971. He started his PhD in 2005 by study Crop Physiology. He has 25 experience years on sugarcane and participated in more than 350 research on sugarcane.